

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,634	11/18/2003	Hui-Huang Chang	BHT-3111-379	3258	
BRUCE H. TE	7590 05/15/200 ROXELL	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1404		AKHAVANNIK, HADI			
5205 LEESBU FALLS CHUR	IRG PIKE RCH, VA 22041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/714,634	CHANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HADI AKHAVANNIK	2624		

	HADI AKHAVANNIK	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,,	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Bhavesh M Mehta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner notes that Field discloses an interference model that uses brightness in column 4 lines 1-55 discloses using brightness. Also the Examiner believes that his interpretation of an interference model is a reasonable interpretation. If the Applicant wishes to have a more specific interpretation then he should include that in the claim. Please note that examiner was not arguing that Interference model old not have any limitations in the independent claim. Rather the Examiner was only mentioning that an interference model can be read broadly even with the limitations in the independent claim. Also the Examiner believes that the Applicant should further define "corresponding" if he wishes to have the limitation as argued in the remarks. Therefore, the rejection stands.